



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5

DATE: December 10, 2001

SUBJECT: Resolution of Significant Violator Case

FROM: Farro Assadi, Engineer   
MI/WI Enforcement Section,

THRU: Peter B. Spyropoulos, Chief   
MI/WI Enforcement Section,

TO: Stephanie Valentine  
MI/WI Enforcement Section

The case of Rockwell Lime Company, Manitowoc, Wisconsin, concerning failure to demonstrate Good Wood Combustion for its boiler has been resolved thru a State issued Order for Judgment.

State Inspection/VE's	_____	(Date)
Federal Inspection/VE's	_____	(date)
Coating Data	_____	(Timeframe)
Ambient Data	_____	(Timeframe)
Stack Test Data	_____	
Decree/Order Number	Case No. 01-CV-000018	
Issue Date	9/06/01	
Effective Date	9/06/01	
Expiration Date	None	
Final Compliance Date	In compliance.	
Penalty Amount (if any)	\$100,044.	
Subject to and in Compliance with a Proposed SIP Revision	_____	

We will proceed to have AIRS/SVL reflect this status.

Summary/Narrative: (Credits given should be discussed in this section)

Stack tests conducted in 11/98 and in early 1999 showed violation of the PTI PM limit. However the company increased the pressure drop at the baghouse and tested in compliance in June 1999. WDNR referred the company for past violation. This judgment order resolves the violation.

cc: Originating Case Engineer: Farro Assadi

State Team:Wisconsin: Farley/Valentine



STATE ORDER REVIEW CHECKLIST

Date Received from State October 23, 2001

1. State of Wisconsin State Order No. 01-CV-000018

2. Source Identification

Name Rockwell Lime Company

Address 4110 Rockwood Rd. City Manitowoc

Type of Source Lime Kiln

3. Date Issued: September 06, 2001

Formal State Submission (yes or no) : Yes

Final Compliance date : In Compliance

4. Public Notice by State:

Papers Name : \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Publication : \_\_\_\_\_

5. Public Hearing

Date held : \_\_\_\_\_

6. Timetable of Compliance Satisfactory (yes or no) Yes

Comments In compliance

7. Interim Requirements (Schedules and Controls) Satisfactory (yes or no)

Comments Not Applicable

8. Monitoring Requirements Satisfactory (yes or no) \_\_\_\_\_

Comments \_\_\_\_\_

9. Non Compliance Penalty Notice Satisfactory (yes or no) Yes

Comments \$100,044.00

10. Recommendation (Approval/Disapproval) Approval

Review by: Farro Assadi Date 12/10/01  
Engineer

Concurrence: [Signature] Date 12/12/01  
Section Chief

